

## **Event of harm review report: Pressure Injury (PI)**

This report provides an account of the review undertaken into

REPORTABLE EVENT NUMBER: [insert number]

Patient name:

The report outlines the analysis, findings and opportunities for improvement. The review is undertaken according to the organisation's review of harm principles, reflecting the Healing, Learning and Improving from Harm national policy <a href="https://www.hqsc.govt.nz/our-work/system-safety/healing-learning-and-improving-from-harm-policy/national-adverse-events-reporting-policy.">https://www.hqsc.govt.nz/our-work/system-safety/healing-learning-and-improving-from-harm-policy/national-adverse-events-reporting-policy.</a>

Patient location:

NHI Number:	Date and time pressure injury found:		
Date of birth Age: Gender:	Site and grade:		
Ethnicity:	Date of admission:		
REVIEW TEAM			
Role:	Designation:		
Role:	Designation:		
Role:	Designation: Team member		
Meet people who were affected by the PI to	Consumer		
seek to understand their experience	Whānau		
	Health care workers		
Date review completed:			
REPORT CONFIRMED AND AUTHORISED B	Y·		
Signature	Signature		
1:	2:		
Name:	Name:		
Role:	Role:		
Date:	Date:		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
REVIEW	
a. Background- describe the event	

b. Influencing Factors (Tick if relevant for this consumer/reside)			
Patient factors – that increased likelihood of developing a pressure injury	Comments		
History of previous pressure injury			
Predisposing medications / polypharmacy			
Comorbidities / clinical conditions			
Personal needs were unmet (toileting, hygiene, hydration)			
Family involved in providing care			
Cognitive impairment / confusion / delirium			
Consumer/resident refused to use preventative tools or aids			
Other factors?			
Task factors – were tasks completed as per policy?			
Risk assessment had been completed			
Risk assessment current			
Care plan current			
Care plan addresses risks			
Care plan implemented			
Staff factors – issues related to staff training/numbers and competency	Be mindful that 'human error' is not a 'cause' of the fall rather a symptom of a system problem. Seek to		

	understand how decisions made sense at the time for staff.
Staffing number/mix not at planned level	
Training given (e.g. completion of pressure injury prevention training)	
Risk perception	
Ability to adapt to changing work conditions and prioritisation	
Organisation of care factors – Care Bundle SKINS	
S. Appropriate pressure relieving equipment provided	
K. Consumer or resident sat out of bed for less than 2 hours at any one time (at risk consumers/residents only)	
K. Mobility or position change documented in clinical record	
I. Skin was clean and dry (continence and hygiene issues were addressed)	
N. Nutritional assessment, including consumer/resident weight, undertaken	
N. Measures taken to optimize nutrition and hydration (at risk consumer/residents only). supplementation prescribed AND administered	
S. For consumers/residents transferred from another ward or facility, skin inspection and Pl assessment completed on transfer/admission	
S. Skin inspected frequently around pressure points and medical devices, and findings documented	
S. Pressure injury reassessed formally at least daily	
Communication	
Information regarding pressure injury prevention plan transferred at handover	
Visual cues reinforcing risk used (daily planner)	
Consumer/resident/whānau given P.I. prevention information leaflet	
Teamwork factors	
Multidisciplinary team referrals made	
Model of care supported teamwork	

Organisational influences				
Pressure injury prevention policy utilised				
Equipment resourced				
Managers support/wound care CNS				
Outcomes are measured				
The Systems Engineering Initiative for	Patient Sa	l Ifety F	L Human Factors tool (which informed	
this template) may provide further sup	port to ena	able a	a system review	
https://www.hqsc.govt.nz/resources/r	<u>esource-lib</u>	rary/s	systems-engineering-initiative-for-	
patient-safety-human-factors-tool/				
a May Findings (datamaina yandanka			nus a cas is acces investigation the access	
of harm)	ing system	ıs or	process issues involved in the event	
1.				
2.				
3.				
d. Additional Findings (identified as	a quality	issue	e)	
4.				
5.				
6.				
Opportunities				
a. Opportunities to improve				
Finding	Quality im	prove	rement action	
b. Organisational learning and sharing				

<b>Event of harm Actio</b>	on Plan						
RE number:		Service	Service:		Report date:		
Key finding	Recommendation		Actions required & progress	Person/role responsible	By when	Date completed	
1.							
2.							
3.							
Authorising Signature	(1):		Date:				
Authorising Signature	(2):		Date:				